

1 KARLA ASCENCIO,

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.
4 LA LOMA PRODUCE NOS 3 AND 9, INC.,
5 et al.,

6 Defendants.

7 No. C 12-06531 LB

8 **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE:**
9 **FAILURE TO PROSECUTE**

10

11

12

13 On December 26, 2012, Plaintiff filed this action against Defendants alleging violations of the
14 Americans with Disabilities Act. Complaint, ECF No. 1. Defendants answered her complaint on
15 March 7, 2013. Answers, ECF Nos. 6-8.

16 On October 24, 2013, a Certification of Medication was filed that states that the action settled in
17 its entirety. Certification of Medication, ECF No. 14. In light of this Certification, on December 9,
18 2013 the court's clerk issued a notice directing Plaintiff, by December 12, 2013, to dismiss the
19 action or file a case management conference statement. Clerk's Notice, ECF No. 15. December 12,
20 2013 was six days ago, yet Plaintiff done neither. See generally Docket.

21 Accordingly, in light of the Certification and Plaintiffs failure to comply with court's directive
22 found in the clerk's notice, the court **ORDERS** Plaintiff to show cause why this action should not be
23 dismissed for failure to prosecute it. Plaintiff **SHALL** do so by filing a written response no later
24 than **5:00 p.m. Thursday, December 19, 2013**. If Plaintiff does not file a response by the deadline,
25 Plaintiff is warned that the court may dismiss the action without prejudice.

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

2 Dated: December 18, 2013

3 
4 LAUREL BEELER
5 United States Magistrate Judge